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Abstract

The MultiComponent Reactions (MCRs) of amines, carbonyl compounds, acids, and isocyanides begins with equilibria of the first three educts (the starting materials of chemical reactions) and intermediate products. Subsequently, the practically irreversible formation of \( \alpha \)-adducts of the intermediate products and isocyanides are formed and rearrange into their final products. The \( \alpha \)-adducts of the MCRs are collections of two component reactions, but under suitable conditions the \( \alpha \)-adducts can also directly be formed from three components. The energy levels of the main intermediate products of the reaction are determined by quantum chemical methods. The HF/6–31G(d) and DFT with B3LYP/6–31G(d) calculations predict that the cations, anions and isocyanides directly form the \( \alpha \)-adduct.
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1 INTRODUCTION

In principle, all chemical reactions are equilibrium between one or two chemical species. Preferred preparative processes proceed irreversibly and convert up to two educts into their products. Exceptions occur in the solid phase in which three chemical components can simultaneously participate to produce products.

Within organic chemistry, the reactions of two components are most often used, and relatively few MultiComponent Reactions (MCRs) containing three or more educts are encountered. In modern isocyanide chemistry more MCRs of three to nine chemical compounds can form their products by collections of subreactions with two components [1–3]. The MCRs of isocyanides form intermediate products by equilibrating reactions, then subsequently irreversibly form \( \alpha \)-adducts by

---
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the $\alpha$–addition of cations and anions onto the isocyanides.

The chemistry of isocyanides is unusual since isocyanides contain divalent carbon atoms [4,5], and all reactions of isocyanides are conversions of divalent carbon atoms into products with tetravalent carbon atoms. It is conceivable that the pre–final steps of MCRs of the isocyanides can also directly undergo three component reactions.

Three fundamental types of MCRs exist [3]: the MCRs of type I are a collection of equilibria between the educts, intermediate products, and final products. In type II MCRs, the educts and intermediate products equilibrate, but their final products are formed irreversibly. The type III MCRs correspond to a sequence of practically irreversible subreactions that proceed towards the products.

The chemistry of the MCRs began in 1850, when Strecker [6] introduced the formation of $\alpha$–aminoalkylcyanides from ammonia, aldehydes, and hydrogen cyanide. This was the first three component reaction of type I; its equilibrating products always occur together with a variety of by–products. In 1929 Bucherer and Bergs [7] began to add carbon dioxide as a fourth component of the Strecker reaction, and pure cyclic hydantoin products were formed. The closure of rings is in most cases (in practice) irreversible, which seems to be energetically preferred. Since 1850 the $\alpha$–amines alkylcyanides NH$_2$–CHR–CN were hydrolyzed into the $\alpha$–amino acid NH$_2$–CHR–CO$_2$H. From 1929 the hydantoins could be prepared irreversibly and in higher yields, which can also be hydrolyzed with acid and water into the $\alpha$–amino acids. In 1882 Hantzsch [8] and Radziszewski [9] began to accomplish MCRs of type II whose last step was always the irreversible ring closure of heterocyclic products. In preparative chemistry the type III MCRs are rarely accomplished [10], whereas in living cells the majority of compounds are formed by MCRs of type III whose subreactions are selectively accelerated towards their products.

2 THE MCRS OF THE ISOCYANIDES

\[ \text{R}^1\text{CO}\text{R}^2 + \text{R}^3\text{COOH} \rightarrow \text{R}^1\text{C}=\text{O} \]
In 1921 the Passerini reaction (P–3CR) \[2,11\] \[1+2+4 \rightarrow 6\] was introduced as the first three component reaction of carbonyl compounds, 1, carboxylic acids, 2, and isocyanides, 4. Their products, 6, are formed via the hydrogen bridged adduct, 5, with its carbonyl compounds and carboxylic acid in suitable solvents \[2,12,13\]. However, hydrogen bridged adducts such as 3 can also be considered as the two components 1 and 2. Then \(\alpha\)--addition of the isocyanide can be considered as a three component reaction.

\[
\begin{align*}
R^4\text{-NH-R}^3 & + R^1\text{-CO-R}^2 + HX & \rightarrow & R^4\text{-N-C-C-N-R} + X^- + H_2O \\
7 & 1 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11
\end{align*}
\]

In 1959, the four component reaction (U–4CR \[3–5,17\]) of amines, 7, carbonyl compounds, 1, acids, 8, and isocyanides, 4, were introduced \[2,4,14–17\]. In this reaction, the amines and carbonyl compounds can equilibrate with the \(\alpha\)--aminoalkyl\--cations, 9, and the anions of the acid components, 10. In suitable polar solvents, these ions are solvated and they are mutually attracted, so that they can be close to each other.

In usual chemical reactions the educts have their characteristic functional groups. All the products of a certain reaction always contain the same typical skeleton, and only the substituents of the educts and products differ. Practically, all chemical reactions have their ‘scope and limitation’ so that not all of the educts with the characteristic functional group can form their products.

In contrast, the U–4CR has practically no such limitations. This means that any educts of the U–4CR react as expected, whereas in many other reactions only certain educts react. Thus, all of the conceivable combinations of the educts form their products. In contrast to the usual chemical reactions, the amines and acids of the U–4CR form a variety of skeletally different products. As the amine components NH\(_3\), R\(^4\)–NH\(_2\), R\(^4\)–NH–R\(^3\), NH\(_2\)OH and R–NH–NH\(_2\) can thus react, and the acids or their anions H\(_2\)O, Na\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_3\), H\(_2\)Se, R\(^4\)R\(^5\)NH, R\(^4\)HN–CN, HN\(_3\), HNCO, HNCS, R\(^3\)–CO\(_2\)H, R\(^3\)–COSH, R\(^3\)O–CO\(_2\)H etc. can form structurally even more different products \[2,4\].

3 THE MECHANISTIC ASPECTS OF THE ISOCYANIDE MCRS

The optimal yields of the isocyanide MCRs can only be reached if particular optimal reaction conditions are used for each case. The reaction mechanisms of the P–3CR and the U–4CR are quite
different. In methanol at 0 °C, the four components of an U–4CR equilibrate with the pair of ions 9 and 10, forming the product in an almost quantitative yield. No P–3CR competes against the U–4CR. In methylenechloride, the same four educts form the hydrogen–bridged adducts, 3, and they undergo a P–3CR into the product in a quantitative yield, while the amine component does not participate then [3,16].

It is conceivable that the cations, anions, and isocyanides can directly form the α–adducts $9 + 10 + 4 \rightarrow 12$ and subsequently rearrange into the final products 13. However, the ions 9 and 10 of the α–adducts can also be formed by two steps: the intermediate 14 could come from the cation 9 with the isocyanide 4, and in the next step, the anion 10 could be added into the α–adduct 12. It is also conceivable that first the anion 10 is added to the isocyanide 4, and subsequently the intermediate 15 reacts with the cation 9 into the α–adduct 12.

Thus, it must be determined which reaction mechanisms have energetic advantages over other possibilities. Then one must compare the energetic levels of $12$, $14 + 10$ and $15 + 9$. A simple model of this reaction forming 12 is investigated. In this model, $R^1$ and $R^4 = H$; $R$, $R^2$, and $R^3 = CH_3$; and $HX = CH_3CO_2H$. The quantum chemistry can thus generally indicate the preferred reaction mechanism of the U–4CR.

4 THE ENERGETIC LEVELS OF THE U-4CR INTERMEDIATES

For the purpose of performing quantum mechanical calculation of electronic structures of the main intermediate products of the reaction, the ab initio GAMESS program [18] interfaced [19] with the molecular mechanics program CHARMM [20] was used. This approach enables us to apply the ABNR minimization routine of CHARMM [20], an alternative procedure to the standard geometry optimization introduced in the GAUSSIAN suite of programs. The GAUSSIAN 98 program [21] was employed for comparison.

The optimized geometries were calculated at two different levels of theory: Hartree–Fock (HF) [22] and density functional theory (DFT) using the Becke three parameter nonlocal exchange functional and the nonlocal correlation functional of Lee, Yang and Parr (B3LYP) [23]. The standard 6–31G(d) [24] basis set was used for each calculation.

The energy of all the compounds in the reaction scheme $9 + 10 + 4 \rightarrow 12$ was then extensively minimized (200 steps using ABNR) for two different methods, HF/6–31G(d) and DFT with
B3LYP/6–31G(d). In order to validate the GAMESS/CHARMM approach, the calculations were compared with the results of the GAUSSIAN 98 program for the total energies at the HF level. The same values of geometry parameters and energies were obtained.

We set up the calculation by performing the energy minimization of eight possible conformations of structure 12. These conformations were obtained by rotating three torsional angles; each equals 0 or 180 degrees, respectively, so all of the conformational space of the system was explored. The conformation with the lowest energy value was chosen for further analysis of the system.

To assess the stability of the intermediate structures, the total energy and the difference between the total and zero energy were calculated. The results for the HF/6–31G(d) and B3LYP/6–31G(d) of these calculations using GAMESS program, interfaced with CHARMM utilizing the ABNR minimization routine, are presented in Table 1. The starting models for calculations were obtained by breaking the covalent bonds between the subsystems 10, 4 and 9 in structure 12 by setting the distance to 3 Å. When the starting models are fully minimized, they either transform into structure 12 or some other structure on the potential energy surface, but never to structures 14 or 15. To establish if structures 14 and 15 are even possible, we also calculated the isolated intermediates 14 and 15, but found they disintegrate. Since structures 14 and 15 do not possess a minimum on the potential energy surface, we can conclude that the α–adduct 12 preferentially reacts by a three component reaction. Details on the starting and minimized structures are presented in the supplementary material.

Table 1. HF/6–31G(d) and B3LYP/6–31G(d) Total Energies (Total E) and Differences in Energies (ΔE = Total E – Zero) for the Cation 9, Anion 10, Isocyanide 4, Intermediates 9+4, 10+4, and α–Adduct 12 Calculated with the GAMESS Program Interfaced with CHARMM using the ABNR Minimization Routine (X + Y Denotes the Systems X, Y being Close to Each Other but not Covalently Bonded; X, Y = 4, 9, 10)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Energy (E)</th>
<th>HF/6-31G(d)</th>
<th>B3LYP/6-31G(d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total E (a.u.) ΔE (kcal/mol) Total E (a.u.) ΔE (kcal/mol)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E(9)</td>
<td>−172.4840236068</td>
<td></td>
<td>−173.638934435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E(10)</td>
<td>−227.2250684896</td>
<td></td>
<td>−228.497911649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E(4)</td>
<td>−131.8943641846</td>
<td></td>
<td>−132.716572702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pairs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero/E(10)+E(4)</td>
<td>−359.1194326742</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−361.214484351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E(10)+E(4)</td>
<td>−359.1463949453</td>
<td>−16.919</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E(15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero/E(9)+E(4)</td>
<td>−304.3783877914</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−306.355507137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E(9)+E(4)</td>
<td>−304.3994301005</td>
<td>−13.204</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E(14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero/E(9)+E(10)+E(4)</td>
<td>−531.6034562810</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−534.853418786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α–adduct</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E(12)</td>
<td>−531.8443608683</td>
<td>−151.170</td>
<td>−535.117392015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 CONCLUSIONS

Our study has revealed that α–adduct with R¹ and R⁴ = H, R, R², and R⁵ = CH₃ has the preferred structure 12 according to the results of quantum chemical calculations. It was found that the α–adduct 12 can directly be formed by the α–addition of 9 and 10 onto the isocyanide 4 as a three component reaction. Not included in this work was the study of the barriers for these reactions in order to estimate the probabilities for each of the reaction pathway. For this type of calculations, the REPLICA/PATH [25] method, which interpolates the structures for the minimal energy pathway between two or more minimized structures, will be used. These results, to obtain the transition structures and their corresponding energies, which represent the barrier energies for the reactions, will be published separately.
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