# Internet EGEFONIG Journal of Molecular Design

November 2003, Volume 2, Number 11, Pages 732–740

Editor: Ovidiu Ivanciuc

Special issue dedicated to Professor Nenad Trinajstić on the occasion of the 65<sup>th</sup> birthday Part 5

Guest Editor: Douglas J. Klein

# Theoretical Study of Hydrogen–Bonded Network and Proton Transfer in the Active Site of Reduced Cytochrome *c* Oxidase

Yasunori Yoshioka and Masaki Mitani

Chemistry Department for Materials, Faculty of Engineering, Mie University, Tsu, Mie 514–8507, Japan

Received: September 1, 2003; Revised: October 8, 2003; Accepted: October 15, 2003; Published: November 30, 2003

Citation of the article:

Y. Yoshioka and M. Mitani, Theoretical Study of Hydrogen–Bonded Network and Proton Transfer in the Active Site of Reduced Cytochrome *c* Oxidase, *Internet Electron. J. Mol. Des.* **2003**, *2*, 732–740, http://www.biochempress.com.

Inter*net* BEFUONE Journal of Molecular Design BIOCHEM Press http://www.biochempress.com

## Theoretical Study of Hydrogen–Bonded Network and Proton Transfer in the Active Site of Reduced Cytochrome *c* Oxidase<sup>#</sup>

### Yasunori Yoshioka\* and Masaki Mitani

Chemistry Department for Materials, Faculty of Engineering, Mie University, Tsu, Mie 514–8507, Japan

Received: September 1, 2003; Revised: October 8, 2003; Accepted: October 15, 2003; Published: November 30, 2003

#### Internet Electron. J. Mol. Des. 2003, 2 (11), 732–740

#### Abstract

**Motivation.** There are many proposals of reaction mechanisms of  $O_2$ -reduction catalyzed by cytochrome *c* oxidase (C*c*O) and the mechanism is not still conclusive. We have previously proposed new 'water-proton transport' (WPT) mechanism in which H<sub>2</sub>O hydrogen-bonded to His290 and Tyr244 transports a proton to FeOO to yield FeOOH. The path through which a proton is transferred to this H<sub>2</sub>O molecule in the active site of C*c*O is investigated from theoretical viewpoint in this work.

**Method.** The moderate size of model of the active site in CcO was constructed based on the geometry of fully reduced form 1OCR in PDB. All histidines were modeled by imidazoles and Tyr244 by phenol. The key fragments concerned with the hydrogen–bonded network were geometrically optimized. The hybrid exchange–correlation functional B3LYP method was employed with double–zeta basis set.

**Results.** The H<sub>2</sub>O molecule exists between farnesylethyl and Thr316 by hydrogen bonds and the hydrogenbonded network, which is connecting from Lys319 to the active site of  $O_2$ -reduction, is formed in the reduced C*c*O. The proton necessary for  $O_2$ -reduction is provided through this hydrogen-bonded network. The proton is trapped on farnesylethyl of heme  $a_3$ , subsequently the proton is transferred to Tyr244 to give a proton to the H<sub>2</sub>O molecule between His290 and Tyr244. The energy barrier of the proton transfer is qualitatively estimated to be 12 kcal/mol.

**Conclusions.** The proton path, which is necessary to perform the  $O_2$ -reduction, was made clear from the theoretical viewpoint.

Keywords. Cytochrome c oxidase; hydrogen bond; reduction; proton transfer; B3LYP.

## **1 INTRODUCTION**

Cytochrome *c* oxidase (C*c*O) is a terminal oxidase of cellular respiration system to catalyze the reduction of oxygen molecule to water molecules. At the same time, electrons are sequentially transferred from heme *a* to heme  $a_3$ , and protons are transferred from the matrix side of mitocondorial membrane toward the cytosolic side (so called proton pumping) [1–3]. There are fully oxidized and reduced forms which have common active site composed of heme  $a_3$ (Fe) and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>#</sup> Dedicated to Professor Nenad Trinajstić on the occasion of the 65<sup>th</sup> birthday.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence author; phone: 81-59-231-9742; fax: 81-59-231-9742; E-mail: yyoshi@chem.mie-u.ac.jp.

 $Cu_B$  binuclear system. The three–dimensional structures of both forms of bovine muscle have been determined by X–ray crystallographic studies [4–6]. The oxidized form  $[Fe^{3+}, Cu_B^{2+}]$  does not catalyze the O<sub>2</sub>–reduction, while the reduced form  $[Fe^{2+}, Cu_B^{++}]$  does.

The  $O_2$  molecule in the triplet state is initially bound to Fe atom of heme  $a_3$  in the reduced form to yield the oxy intermediate [Fe–OO, Cu<sub>B</sub>] [2,3,7,8]. The subsequent intermediate observed experimentally is the oxo intermediate [Fe=O, Cu<sub>B</sub>] [3,7–13]. Two electrons and two protons are necessary to yield the oxo intermediate with generating H<sub>2</sub>O molecule. There is now consensus that first two protons are provided from K–channel that begins from Lys319 and ends to Thr316 through hydrogen bonds of water molecule, peptide bonds, and amino residues [14,15].

In the previous paper [16], we have proposed "Water–Proton Transport" (WPT) mechanism of  $O_2$ –reduction in the active site of the reduced CcO from theoretical viewpoint. It has been shown that there exists a H<sub>2</sub>O molecule between His290 and Tyr244 with the hydrogen bonds. The H<sub>2</sub>O molecule plays a role as a proton carrier to yield the ferric–hydroperoxo intermediacy [Fe–OOH,  $Cu_B^{2+}$ ]<sup>2+</sup> from the oxy intermediate. However, in our mechanism, H<sub>2</sub>O molecule in the network of the hydrogen bonds was abruptly changed to hydronium ion with assumption that a proton is provided through K–channel. The barrier of the proton transfer was not also estimated.

In this paper, the barrier of the proton transfer from farnesylethyl to the  $H_2O$  molecule between His290 and Tyr244 will be qualitatively estimated. It is shown that the barrier is smaller than the binding energy of  $O_2$  by Fe.

## **2 MODELS AND METHODS**

## 2.1 Model of the Active Site

The model of the active site of CcO has been discussed in details in the previous paper [16]. Here, we like to show the construction procedure of the model briefly. The structure of the active site of the reduced form 10CR [6] in PDB was employed to construct the model of the active site.

All histidine residues, which coordinate to Fe of heme  $a_3$  in the axial direction and Cu atom, were modeled by imidazoles. Tyr244, which is bonded covalently to His290, is modeled by phenol. The formyl and vinyl groups are left in the porphyrin ring due to possibility of the  $\pi$ -resonance. The farnesylethyl group was modeled by –CH<sub>2</sub>OH which has possibility to make hydrogen bond to phenol (Tyr244). The geometries of heavy atoms in the model are same as those given by 1OCR of X-ray crystallographic study. The hydrogen atoms, which are not observed in 1OCR, were added by the usual way. The constructed model is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Model of active site in fully reduced CcO (10CR in PDB).

#### **2.2 Calculation Procedures**

In the reduced form of C*c*O, Fe and Cu have formal charges of +2 and +1, respectively. Since porphyrin ring has formal charges of –2, the total charge of the model should be given by +1, such as  $[Fe^{2+}, Cu_B^+]^+$ . The full geometry optimization was not performed in order to hold the geometry of the reaction field in the active site of reduced C*c*O. The key fragments (H<sub>2</sub>O and H atoms of OH in phenol and –CH<sub>2</sub>OH), which are directly concerned with the network of the hydrogen bonds, were optimized. We employed the hybrid exchange–correlation functional B3LYP [17–20] method. Wachters double zeta basis set [21] was employed for Fe and Cu atoms. The 6–31G\* basis set was used for key oxygen atoms of O<sub>2</sub> molecule, phenol and H<sub>2</sub>O molecule, and 3–21G basis set for C, N, H, and other O atoms in the model of the active site of fully reduced CcO. All calculations were carried out using the program package Gaussian 94 [22].

#### **3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

It has been shown in our previous work [6] that there exists a H<sub>2</sub>O molecule between His 290 and Tyr244 through hydrogen bonds. This H<sub>2</sub>O molecule receives a proton to give the hydronium ion that transports a proton to FeOO to produce FeOOH. The protonated geometry in which H<sub>2</sub>O molecule receives a proton is a transient state not a stable state. However, a proton is abruptly added to H<sub>2</sub>O molecule as a terminal point of the proton transfer through K–channel. The previous work was based on assumption that the H<sub>2</sub>O molecule is connecting to the K–channel by hydrogen bonds through phenol (Tyr244), farnesylethyl (heme  $a_3$ ), and Thr316. It is, therefore, interesting to investigate whether the proton transfer is available or not through the K–channel.

The total energies of the singlet state and triplet state shown in Figure 1 are estimated to be -5395.72502 a.u. and -5395.72187 a.u., respectively, under the optimization of H atom of OH in

phenol (Tyr244), indicating that the singlet state is lower in energy by only 2.0 kcal/mol. The electronic configurations of the singlet and triplet states are given by  $(d_{xy})^2(d_{xz})^2(d_{yz})^2$  and  $(d_{xy})^2(d_{xz})^2(d_{yz})^1(d_{z^2})^1$  of Fe atom, respectively. Two unpaired electrons are localized on Fe atom of porphyrin ring in the triplet state. Heme  $a_3$  is composed of the five–coordinate Fe<sup>2+</sup> porphyrin complex in which Fe is ligated by axial histidine ligand. This energy difference is conflict with other DFT calculations that the triplet state of five–coordinate Fe<sup>2+</sup> porphyrin complex without the Cu<sub>B</sub> moiety is lower than the singlet state [23–26]. This discrepancy shows that the positive charge of Cu<sup>+</sup> stabilizes the singlet state rather than the triplet state. The electronic structures of the Cu<sub>B</sub> moiety are, however, same between the singlet and triplet state, although the reaction with the triplet oxygen molecule proceeds on the potential energy surface of the singlet state. As the proton transfer is expected to occurr on the Cu<sub>B</sub> moiety, calculations of the proton transfer have been performed on the potential energy surface of the single state in order to avoid the time–consuming.

From the geometry of the fully reduced C*c*O by X–ray crystallographic study [6], the distance between O–atom of OH in farnesylethyl group and O–atom of OH in Thr316 is given by 4.760 Å, indicating the possibility that another H<sub>2</sub>O molecule exists between farnesylethyl group and Thr316 through the hydrogen bonds, compared with H<sub>2</sub>O molecule hydrogen–bonded to His290 and Tyr244. Thr316 is a terminal amino residue of K–channel beginning from Lys319. Therefore, two H<sub>2</sub>O molecules are added into geometry shown in Figure 1 to investigate the hydrogen–bonded network connecting with phenol and –CH<sub>2</sub>OH.



Figure 2. Hydrogen–bonded networks formed by two water molecules in the vicinity of active site of CcO.

Figure 2 shows the optimized locations of two  $H_2O$  molecules. It can be easily seen from Figure 2 that there are two ways in the networks of the hydrogen bonds. One (1 shown in Figure 2) is a hydrogen-bonded network that H-atom of OH in Tyr244 is hydrogen-bonded to O-atom of - CH<sub>2</sub>OH in farnesylethyl group, and another (2 in Figure 2) is that H-atom of -CH<sub>2</sub>OH in

farnesylethyl is hydrogen–bonded to O–atom of phenol in Tyr244. Geometry **1** is lower in energy by 9.2 kcal/mol than geometry **2**. The removals of two H<sub>2</sub>O molecules from geometries **1** and **2** give two different geometries in the directions of H–atoms of phenol and –CH<sub>2</sub>OH. The removal of them from geometry **1** leads to lower energy by 12.1 kcal/mol than that from geometry **2**. These results indicate that the hydrogen bond of OH in phenol and –CH<sub>2</sub>OH is preferable rather than the hydrogen bond OH in –CH<sub>2</sub>OH and O in phenol.

The H<sub>2</sub>O molecules hydrogen–bonded to  $-CH_2OH$  in geometries **1** and **2** are directed to Thr316 which is a terminal residue of the K–channel, indicating that H<sub>2</sub>O molecule exists between farnesylethyl group and Thr316 and connects with the K–channel. In the vicinity of Thr316, Ile312 exists with the distance of 2.898 Å between O–atom of OH in Thr316 and O–atom of C=O in Ile312. It is reasonable to consider that H–atom of OH in Thr316 is directed to O–atom of C=O in Ile312 to form the hydrogen bond. It is, therefore, expected that lone–pair orbital of O–atom in Thr316 is directed to O–atom of OH in farnesylethyl group. Two H atoms of H<sub>2</sub>O are faced to O–atom of OH in Thr316 in geometry **1**, while O–atom of H<sub>2</sub>O is faced to Thr316 in geometry **2**. H<sub>2</sub>O in geometry **1** has a possibility to form the hydrogen bonds to the lone–pair orbital of O–atom in Thr316. It is, however, expected that H<sub>2</sub>O in geometry **2** is not stable because of repulsive interactions between the lone–pair orbitals of O atoms of H<sub>2</sub>O and Thr316. The network of the hydrogen bonds in **1** is, therefore, more preferable than that in **2**. This consideration shows that the stability of **1** could be enhanced by the hydrogen bond to Thr316 more than energy difference of 9.2 kcal/mol between **1** and **2**.



Figure 3. Geometries in which a proton is captured.

When a proton is transferred to the reaction site through K–channel, there are four possibilities to capture the proton. Those are  $H_2O$  between  $-CH_2OH$  and Thr316,  $-CH_2OH$ , phenol, and  $H_2O$  between His290 and Tyr244. Only two geometries in which a proton is captured on  $-CH_2OH$  and

 $H_2O$  between His290 and Tyr244 were found, as shown in Figure 3. Geometry **3** is lower in energy by 2.4 kcal/mol than **4**. When Fe binds oxygen molecule at early stage of O<sub>2</sub>-reduction, the H<sub>2</sub>O between His290 and Tyr244 transports a proton to FeOO at the same time that H<sub>2</sub>O captures a proton [16]. However, H<sub>2</sub>O in geometry **4** enable to capture a proton without binding O<sub>2</sub> by Fe.

The charge density of  $OH_2$  of  $-CH_2OH_2$  in **3** is estimated to be 0.308*e*, while the charge density of  $H_3O$  in **4** is 0.726*e*. In the case of **3**, the positive charges are increased by 0.588*e* and 0.579*e* from OHs of **1** and **2**, respectively. It is, therefore, found that a proton is localized on  $OH_2$  and  $H_3O$  in **3** and **4**, respectively, consistent with decreases of distances of the hydrogen bonds from  $R_{OH} = 1.770$  Å to  $R_{OH} = 1.496$  Å in **3** and from  $R_{OH} = 1.918$  Å to  $R_{OH} = 1.483$  Å in **4**.



Figure 4. Variations of relative energy and selected distances to a transferring proton through the proton transfer between phenol and –CH<sub>2</sub>OH.

It is expected that geometrical change from **3** to **4** is induced by the proton-transfer from –  $CH_2OH_2$  to OH of phenol. We selected the distance  $H^+$  and O of phenol as a geometrical parameter of the proton transfer. Figure 4 shows the variations of the relative energy and selected distances via the stepwise change of distance of  $H^+$  and O of phenol. **3** corresponds to R = 1.762 Å and **4** to R = 1.041 Å. The highest point in energy is estimated to be R = 1.341 Å by three–point extrapolation from R = 1.2 Å, 1.3 Å, and 1.4 Å. It can be found from Figure 4 that barrier height of the proton transfer from **3** to **4** is 12.0 kcal/mol. It was reported in the case of  $[H_2O-H-OH_2]^+$  that the optimized O–O distance is about 2.4 Å with a single minimum on the potential energy surface [27–29]. It was also reported that the elongation of O–O distance changes the potential energy surface a double well with a significant barrier, in agreement with our result shown in Figure 4. Our barrier height 12.0 kcal/mol at  $R_{OO} = 2.783$  Å (in Figure 1) of phenol and  $-CH_2OH$  is comparable with about 13 kcal/mol at  $R_{OO} = 2.8$  Å of  $[H_2O-H-OH_2]^+$ . It seems that 12.0 kcal/mol is high to transfer

a proton. However, this energy barrier is lower than binding energy of 30 kcal/mol of Fe to OO [16], indicating that the proton can be easily transferred after the early stage of reduction at which heme  $a_3$  binds oxygen molecule.

It can be seen from Figure 4(B) that until the proton reaches the highest point (R = 1.341 Å) of potential energy curve starting from **3**, H–atom of OH in phenol is entirely immovable with maintaining the OH bond. The H<sub>2</sub>O molecule between His290 and Tyr244 becomes close to this H atom with gradually decreasing the distance. It can be found that this H atom of OH in phenol moves rapidly to H<sub>2</sub>O as a proton after the barrier is passed.

The oxygen molecule is bound to Fe atom in a real reaction system before the proton transfer occurs [16]. Since **4** is a transient state under existence of FeOO, it can be considered that the proton–transfer from phenol to the H<sub>2</sub>O molecule between His290 and Tyr244 and the proton–transportation by H2O to FeOO will occur simultaneously with transferring a proton from farnesylethyl to phenol. FeOOH is finally formed in heme  $a_3$  by concerted movement of a proton and H<sub>2</sub>O.



Figure 5. Geometry in which H-atom of phenol is rotated.

It is expected to be reasonable that the proton moves to  $H_2O$  hydrogen-bonded to  $-CH_2OH$  in 2 to obtain 3 through the proton transfer from K-channel. Since the geometry 2 protonated to  $H_2O$  is, in fact, a transient state, 3 is easily obtained by the proton transfer to  $-CH_2OH$ . It is necessary to obtain from 1 to 2 that two -OH groups of phenol and  $-CH_2OH$  should be rotated and  $H_2O$  molecule between His290 and Tyr244 is also. The energy barriers of the rotations are large. Figure 5 shows the geometry that is corresponding to the rotation of OH of phenol from 1. This geometry is higher in energy by only 8.7 kcal/mol than 2 with the rotation barrier of about 22 kcal/mol, indicating still lower energy than the FeOO binding energy. It can be seen from Figure 5 that this geometry has a pocket to receive a proton to give 3. It may be expected in a real system that the

energy barrier from 1 to 3 becomes lower due to concerted manner of the rotation of OH in phenol and the proton-transfer to  $-CH_2OH$ .

After the FeOOH is formed, the network of the hydrogen bonds is returned to the type of geometry **1**. There is consensus that first two protons are migrated through K–channel to the active site to produce the first  $H_2O$ . The transfer of the second proton should be, therefore, started from the hydrogen–bonded network of **1**. Although the path of the proton movement to  $-CH_2OH$  to provide **3** is not identified in this work, it should be thoroughly investigated by inclusion of Ile312 and Thr316. In general, the patterns of the hydrogen–bonded network are different before and after the proton transfer. It is an important problem in the biological system to investigate the driving force to reverse the pattern of the hydrogen–bonded network before the proton transfer.

#### **4 CONCLUSIONS**

In the previous work [16], it was shown that  $H_2O$  molecule exists between His290 and Tyr244 by hydrogen bonds. In this work, it has been shown that another  $H_2O$  molecule exists between farnesylethyl and Thr316 with hydrogen bonds. From the experimental observation that Thr316 is a terminal residue of K--channel beginning from Lys319, it has been also found that the hydrogenbonded network, which is connecting from Lys319 to the active site of O<sub>2</sub>-reduction, is formed in the reduced C*c*O. The proton necessary for O<sub>2</sub>-reduction is provided through this hydrogen-bonded network. The proton is trapped on farnesylethyl of heme  $a_3$ , subsequently the proton is transferred to Tyr244 to give a proton to H<sub>2</sub>O molecule between His290 and Tyr244. The energy barrier of the proton transfer is qualitatively estimated to be 12 kcal/mol, showing that the proton is easily transferred to the active site due to the lower barrier than the binding energy of Fe and oxygen molecule.

In this work, the proton path, which is necessary to perform the  $O_2$  reduction, was made clear from the theoretical viewpoint. This result is important to obtain the conclusive mechanism for yielding the first product,  $H_2O$  molecule.

#### Acknowledgment

This work was supported by Grant Aid for Scientific Research (Nos. 13440196 and 13128207) of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan.

#### **5 REFERENCES**

- [1] B. G. Malmstörm,, Chem. Rev. 1990, 90, 1247–1260.
- [2] G. T. Babcock and M. Wikstörm, *Nature* **1992**, *356*, 301–309.
- [3] S. Furguson–Miller and G. T. Babcock, *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *96*, 2889–2907.
- [4] T. Tsukihara, H. Aoyama, E. Yamashita, T. Tomizaki, H. Yamaguchi, K. Sinzawa–Itoh, R. Nakashima, R. Yaono, S. Yoshikawa, *Science* 1995, 269, 1069–1074.

- [5] T. Tsukihara, H. Aoyama, E. Yamashita, T. Tomizaki, H. Yamaguchi, K. Sinzawa–Itoh, R. Nakashima, R. Yaono, S. Yoshikawa, *Science* **1996**, *272*, 1136–1144.
- [6] S. Yoshikawa, K. Sinzawa–Itoh, R. Nakashima, R. Yaono, E. Yamashita, N. Inoue, M. Yao, M. J. Fei, C. P. Libeu, T. Mizushima, H. Yamaguchi, T. Tomizaki, and T. Tsukihara, *Science* 1998, 280, 1723–1729.
- [7] D. A. Proshlyakov, M. A. Pressler, C. DeMaso, J. F. Leykam, D. L. DeWitt, and G. T. Bacock, *Science* 2000, 290, 1588–1591.
- [8] M. Fabin, W. W. Wong, R. B. Gennis, and G. Palmer, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 1999, 96, 13114–13117.
- [9] D. A. Proshlyakov, T. Ogura, K. Sinzawa–Itoh, S. Yoshikawa, E. H. Appelman, and T. Kitagawa, J. Biol. Chem. 1994, 269, 29385–29388.
- [10] D. A. Proshlyakov, T. Ogura, K. Sinzawa–Itoh, S. Yoshikawa, and T. Kitagawa, Biochemistry 1996, 35, 8580– 8586.
- [11] M. Aki, T. Ogura, K. Sinzawa-Itoh, S. Yoshikawa, and T. Kitagawa, J. Phys. Chem. B 2000, 104, 10765–10774.
- [12] D. A. Proshlyakov, M. A. Pressler, and G. T. Babcock, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 1998, 95, 8020–8025.
- [13] J. E. Morgan, M. I. Verkhovsky, G. Palmer, and M. Wikström, *Biochemistry* 2001, 40, 6882–6892.
- [14] M. Svensson-Ek, J. W. Thomas, and R. B. Gennis, T. Nilson, and P. Brzezinski, *Biochemistry* 1996, 35, 13673-13680.
- [15] P. Brzezinski and P. Adelroth, Bioenerg. Biomembr. 1998, 30, 99-106.
- [16] Y. Yoshioka, H. Kawai, and K. Yamaguchi, Chem. Phys. Lett. 2003, 374, 45-52.
- [17] A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 1372–1377.
- [18] A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648–5652.
- [19] A. D. Becke, Phys. Rev. A 1998, 38, 3098–3100.
- [20] P. J. Stevens, F. J. Devlin, C. F. Chablowski, and M. J. Frish, J. Phys. Chem. 1994, 98, 11623-11627.
- [21] A. J. H. Wachters, J. Chem. Phys. 1970, 52, 1033–1040.
- [22] M. J. Frish, et al., GAUSSIAN 94, Revision E.2, Gaussian Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, 1995.
- [23] C. Rovira, P. Ballone, and M. Parrinero, Chem. Phys. Lett. 1997, 271, 247-250.
- [24] C. Rovira, C. Kunc, J. Hutter, P. Ballone, and M. Parrinero, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 1998, 69, 31-35.
- [25] P. M. Kozlowski, T. G. Spiro, and M. Z. Zgierski, J. Phys. Chem. B 2000, 104, 10659-10666
- [26] M.-S. Liao, and S. Scheiner, J. Chem. Phys. 2002, 116, 3635-3645.
- [27] C. Mijoule, Z. Latajka, and D. Borgis, Chem. Phys. Lett. 1993, 208, 364–368.
- [28] A. Lami and G. Villani, Chem. Phys. Lett. 1995, 238, 137–142.
- [29] F. F. Muguet, J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem) 1996, 368, 173-196.

#### **Biographies**

**Yasunori Yoshioka** is professor of chemistry at Mie University. After obtaining a Ph.D. degree in quantum chemistry from Osaka University, Dr. Yoshioka undertook postdoctoral research with Professor Kenneth D. Jordan at the University of Pittsburgh and Professor Henry F. Schaefer III at the University of California, Berkeley. More recently, Dr. Yoshioka has collaborated on reaction mechanism of enzyme and vibrational structures of small molecules with Professors Kizashi Yamaguchi and Toshio Kasai at Osaka University.

Masaki Mitani is associate professor of chemistry at Mie University, and obtained a Ph.D. degree in quantum chemistry from Hiroshima University. More recently, Dr. Mitani has collaborated on reaction mechanism of enzyme and vibrational structures of small molecules with Professors Kizashi Yamaguchi and Toshio Kasai at Osaka University.